



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/501,017	02/09/2000	Teruo Hieda	1232-4612	3308

27123 7590 01/25/2005
MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.
3 WORLD FINANCIAL CENTER
NEW YORK, NY 10281-2101

EXAMINER

MISLEH, JUSTIN P

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2612

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

10/11/04

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/501,017

Applicant(s)

HIEDA, TERUO

Examiner

Justin P Misleh

Art Unit

2612

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 September 2004.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 - 12 and 16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 - 12 and 16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 09 February 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 23 September 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
2. Applicant's arguments with respect to new independent Claim 16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.
3. The Applicant argues "Nakamura discloses that the high luminance compression is performed when RGB signals in horizontal direction are inputted, and the first and second saturation compressions are performed sequentially for the same inputted RGB signals ... Nakamura does not disclose that the RGB signals are suppressed by a control signal for the image, which spreads in two-dimensions from a center of the high-luminance portion to the periphery in both a horizontal direction and a vertical direction ... In fact, in Nakamura, the inputted RGB signals are only horizontal direction signals, and a structure is not disclose for performing a saturation compression in a vertical direction."
4. The Applicant's statements regarding Nakamura are erroneous and unfounded. There is nothing within Nakamura that talks about "horizontal direction" and "vertical direction" structures in regards to saturation compression. So, to simply state that Nakamura discloses that the inputted RGB signals are only horizontal direction signals, and a structure is not disclose for performing a saturation compression in a vertical direction is erroneous and unfounded because Applicant has not provided any proof or reasoning for believing so.

Moreover, Nakamura et al. is a secondary teaching to provide the features missing in the primary teaching of Kaji et al. As stated in the Non-Final Office Action (10 June 2004), Kaji et al. is directed towards applying a prescribed waveform of a single dimension on a dot sequential image signal output from CCD image sensor. In other words, Kaji et al. discloses that a gain is adjusted either point-by-point or line-by-line. On the other hand, Nakamura et al. is directed towards applying a prescribed waveform (corresponding to equation 1 in column 11), which is two-dimensional and spreads two-dimensionally from a center of the high-luminance portion to the periphery in both a horizontal and a vertical direction.

The prescribed waveform is two-dimensional for a least two reasons: The first reason is that the image signal is a two-dimensional image signal comprised of a matrix of pixels wherein each pixel in the matrix is comprised of three individual color components (R, G, B); the second reason is that equation (1) applies a common coefficient k_w to each pixel in the matrix by means of applying the common coefficient k_w to each of the three individual color components. As characterized in column 11 (lines 27 – 63), the result is a two-dimensional image signal with gain adjustment on a two-dimensional basis such that the gain is appropriately adjusted at each pixel including those pixels at the periphery of the high-luminance section.

5. The Examiner approves Applicant's amendment to the title; there are no further objections to the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2612

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. **Claims 1, 3 – 5, 7 – 9, 11, 12, and 16** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaji et al. in view of Nakamura et al.

8. For **Claims 1, 5, and 16**, Kaji et al. disclose, as shown in figures 6 – 8 and as stated in columns 3 (lines 42 – 62), 4 (lines 30 – 53), and 5 (lines 25 – 54), an image processing apparatus and method of operating thereof, comprising the parts and steps:

a detecting part/step which detects (high brightness detector 22b, as shown in figure 8), in an inputted image signal (the image signal, which is the signal directly output from the sample and hold circuit 8, is input into the LPF 22a and is then entered in the high brightness detector 22b/detecting part/step), a high-luminance portion that exceeds a predetermined value (As stated in column 4, lines 37 – 42, the signal is compared with a predetermined saturation level by the high brightness detector 21b to detect whether it has high brightness or not ...);

a generating part/step which generates (also provided in the high brightness detector 22b/detecting part/step) a control signal (continuing in column 4, lines 37 – 42, ... and this detection signal is used as a control signal for the variable gain amplifier 21c.), which has a prescribed waveform which is defined in such a way that a suppression is reduced from the detected high-luminance portion toward a periphery of the detected high-luminance portion (Again, as stated in column 4, lines 42 – 46, the gain of the variable gain amplifier 21c drops whenever a high-luminance portion is detected; see below for further explanation), in dependence upon the detection made by said detecting part/step (the high brightness detector

Art Unit: 2612

22b/detecting/generating part/step generates a control signal dependent upon the detection made by the same);

a separating part/step which separates a color signal from the image signal (sample and hold circuits 9, 10, and 11 separate the color signal R, G, Cy from the image signal, which is the signal directly output from the sample and hold circuit 8); and

a suppression part/step which suppresses (variable gain amplifier 22c) the separated color signal by the control signal (only the separated color signal is input, from switch 18, into the suppression means).

Since the entire dot sequential image signal is entered into the high brightness detector 22b/detecting/generating part/step to determine high brightness areas in the image signal, which are accordingly suppressed by a drop in the gain of the variable gain amplifier 22c/suppressing part/step, a control signal provided to the variable gain amplifier inherently is a prescribed waveform encompassing the entire image signal, which includes the periphery of the high luminance portion.

However, Kaji et al., as described above, disclose a dot sequential image signal and prescribed waveform of a single dimension and thus does not disclose a prescribed waveform, which two-dimensionally spreads from a center of the high-luminance portion to the periphery in both a horizontal and a vertical direction.

On the other hand, Nakamura et al. also disclose, an image processing apparatus and method of operating thereof for suppressing a high-luminance portion in a color signal.

Nakamura et al. teach, as shown in figures 7 and 8 and as stated in columns 7 (lines 53 – 67), 8 (lines 1 – 16), 9 (lines 63 – 67), 10 (lines 1 – 8), and 11 (lines 24 – 63), a luminance suppression

Art Unit: 2612

circuit (116) wherein a separating part (152) separates a color signal (151R, 151G, and 151B) from the image signal (R, G, B, Y), a generating part (152) which generates a control signal (equation 1 as shown in column 11), which has a prescribed waveform which two-dimensionally spreads from a center of the high-luminance portion to the periphery in both a horizontal and a vertical direction (matrix of coefficients describing the color signal according to the compression coefficient K_P), and suppressing part (56R, 56G, and 56B) for suppressing the color signal (151R, 151G, and 151B) by the control signal (equation 1 as shown in column 11). As stated in column 4 (lines 37 – 60), at the time the invention was made, one with ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include a generating part which generates a control signal, which has a prescribed waveform which two-dimensionally spreads from a center of the high-luminance portion to the periphery in both a horizontal and a vertical direction, as taught by Nakamura et al., in the image processing apparatus and method of operating thereof, disclosed by Kaji et al., as a means to change the saturation of only the high luminance portion so as to achieve a more spontaneous luminance compression. Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the to have a generating part which generates a control signal, which has a prescribed waveform which two-dimensionally spreads from a center of the high-luminance portion to the periphery in both a horizontal and a vertical direction, as taught by Nakamura et al., in the image processing apparatus and method of operating thereof, disclosed by Kaji et al.

9. For **Claim 9**, Kaji et al. do not disclose a computer-readable storage medium storing a program for executing the steps described in Claim 5 above.

However, **Official Notice** is taken that both the concepts and the advantages of providing a computer-readable storage medium storing a program for executing the steps of the image processing method with respect to Claim 5 are well-known and expected in the art. It would have been obvious to implement the image processing method of Kaji et al using a computer, software, and memory as means to provide a portable and fully automated image processing system.

10. As for **Claims 3, 7, and 11**, Kaji et al. disclose wherein the image signal (which is the signal directly output from the sample and hold circuit 8) is a signal of an image captured by image sensing part/step (CCD image sensor 5), and said detecting part/step (high brightness detector 22b) detects a saturated portion of said image sensing means as the high-luminance portion (see column 4, lines 37 – 46).

11. As for **Claims 4, 8, and 12**, Nakamura et al. disclose, that the control signal is only applicable to the high-luminance portions of the color signal and suppression is applied accordingly/spontaneously as needed. More specifically, Nakamura et al. states, in columns 14 (lines 66 and 67) and 15 (lines 1 – 6), “only saturation of the high luminance portion can be varied according to the liking of the viewer to realize more spontaneous luminance compression by compressing only luminance of the high luminance portion with the color hue and the saturation unaltered and by compressing only saturation with the luminance of the high luminance portion and the color hue unchanged.”

12. **Claims 2, 6, and 10** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaji et al. in view of Nakamura et al. in further view of Hirata et al.

Art Unit: 2612

13. As for **Claims 2, 6, and 10**, Kaji et al. disclose, an image processing apparatus and method of operating thereof comprising a detecting part/step, a generating part/step for generating a control signal in dependence upon the detection made by the detection part/step, and a suppression part/step for suppressing a color image signal. Kaji et al. do not disclose the image processing apparatus and method of operating thereof further comprising: first storage part/step which stores an output from said detecting part/step, wherein said generating part/step generates the control signal in dependence upon an output from said first storage part/step; and second storage part/step for storing this control signal, wherein said suppression part/step suppresses the color signal using the control signal read out of said second storage part/step.

Hirata et al. also disclose, as shown in figure 6, an image processing apparatus comprising a detecting part/step (573), a generating part/step (575) for generating a control signal in dependence upon the detection made by the detection part/step, and a suppression part/step (576) for suppressing a color image signal. Hirata et al. disclose, the image processing apparatus further comprising: first storage part/step (provided by CPU 70; see below for explanation) for storing an output from said detecting part/step (573), wherein said generating part/step (575) generates the control signal (coefficients) in dependence upon an output from said first storage part/step (the CPU 70 outputs to the generating part/step 575); and second storage part/step (RAM 72) for storing this control signal (RAM 72 stores the coefficients), wherein said suppression part/step (576) suppresses the color signal (provided by HVC converter 571) using the control signal read out of said second storage part/step.

Hirata et al. teach, as stated in column 8 (lines 26 – 42), the detecting part/step (573) detects saturation of the image signal based upon a predetermined saturation stored in ROM (71).

Art Unit: 2612

The results of the detection are sent from the detecting part/step (573) to the CPU 70 (first storage part/step). The CPU 70 (first storage part/step) instructs the generating part/step (575) to generate a control signal (coefficients K_j). Once the control signal (coefficients K_j) is generated, it is sent back to the CPU 70 (first storage part/step) and is then stored in RAM 72 (second storage part/step). The control signal (coefficients K_j) is read out from RAM 72 (second storage part/step) and sent to the suppression part/step (576) to suppress the color signal. The Examiner interprets CPU 70 as the first storage part/step, since it is inherent to all CPUs to have working storage or working memories to store information. Therefore, since the detecting part/step sends its results to the CPU 70, it is in fact sending its results to the first storage part/step.

At the time the invention was made, one with ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include a first and second storage part/step in the arrangement taught by Hirata et al. in the image processing apparatus of Kaji et al. as a means to include temporary storage locations so as to provide each of the detecting part/step, generating part/step, and suppressing part/step the opportunity to perform their respective processes on entire image signals rather than a continuous stream of partial image signals. Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include a first and second storage parts/steps in the arrangement taught by Hirata et al. in the image processing apparatus of Kaji et al.

Conclusion

14. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Justin P Misleh whose telephone number is 703.305.8090 (571.272.7313 ~ March 2005). The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 AM to 5:30 PM and on alternating Fridays from 7:30 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Wendy R Garber can be reached on 703.305.4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703.872.9306.


Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 2612

system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JPM

January 19, 2005


WENDY R. GARBER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600